



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

M/L

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,594	10/01/2001	John S. Hendricks	3698.00D10	5653
56015	7590	11/01/2006	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			SHELEHEDA, JAMES R	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2623		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,594	HENDRICKS, JOHN S.
Examiner	Art Unit	
James Sheleheda	2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strubbe (5,223,924) (Strubbe '924) (of record) in view of McMullan, Jr. (5,251,324).

Strubbe (5,223,924) (Strubbe '924) incorporates by reference Strubbe et al. (5,047,867) (Strubbe '867) [see Strubbe '924 at column 2, lines 31-49 and column 4, lines 27-38].

As to claim 1, while Strubbe '924 discloses a set top terminal for generating an interactive electronic program guide for display on a television connected to the set top terminal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 1, line 56-column 2, line 15), the terminal comprising:

means for retrieving user information for a subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32);

means for receiving a television signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for extracting individual programs from the television signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for generating an electronic program guide for controlling display of content on a television screen (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 27 and column 5, lines 33-47), the guide comprising:

a favorites menu including names of programs available for selection (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47), wherein the programs included in the favorites menu are based on the user information (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47); and

means for receiving selection signals from a user input (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 47), he fails to specifically disclose user information associated with two subscribers.

In an analogous art, McMullan discloses a cable television system (see Fig. 1) wherein individual profiles are created for multiple subscribers (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) based upon received user information (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming (column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe's system to include user information associated with two subscribers, as taught by McMullan, for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming.

As to claim 2, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for retrieving user information includes means for requesting information from the subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-26) and means for receiving a response from the subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 26).

As to claim 3, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for retrieving user information includes means for identifying frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at column 5, lines 20-32 and see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32) and means for storing in memory identifiers of the frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at column 5, lines 20-32 and column 4, lines 23-29 and see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 4, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for retrieving user information includes means for identifying frequently watched channels (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62) and means for storing in memory the frequently watched channels (see Strubbe '867 at column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 5, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the menu includes a list of frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 6, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose means for receiving program content information (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 16) and means for matching the program content information with the user information (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19), and wherein the means for generating includes means for generating the favorites menu to display programs selected by the means for matching (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19).

As to claim 7, while Strubbe '924 discloses a set top terminal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 1, line 56-column 2, line 15), comprising:

means for receiving subscriber information including information about television viewing preferences (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32);

a memory that stores the subscriber information (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 3, 54, column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32);

means for receiving a television signal from an operations center (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for extracting from the signal individual programs for display on a television associated with the terminal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 3, lines 38-58); and

means for generating an interactive program menu on the television (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 27 and column 5, lines 33-47), listing a group of available programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47), the group being based

on the subscriber information (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47), he fails to specifically disclose first and second subscriber information.

In an analogous art, McMullan discloses a cable television system (see Fig. 1) wherein individual profiles are created for multiple subscribers (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) based upon received user information (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming (column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe's system to include first and second subscriber information, as taught by McMullan, for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming.

As to claim 8, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose means for receiving a signal from a user input device selecting one of the available programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 9-58, column 4, lines 27-38 and column 5, lines 33-42), and means for causing the selected program to be displayed on the television (display, 25; see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 9-58, column 4, lines 27-38 and column 5, lines 33-42).

As to claim 9, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the television viewing preferences include frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 10, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the television viewing preferences include frequently watched channels (see Strubbe '867 at Fig. 6a; column 5, lines 20-32, column 4, lines 23-29 and column 1, lines 50-62).

As to claim 11, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for receiving first and second subscriber information comprises means for automatically tracking information related to viewing habits of the subscribers (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 12, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for automatically tracking comprises automatically tracking information related to frequently watched programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 13, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for receiving first and second subscriber information comprises means for activating a learning mode (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32) and means for receiving the respective subscriber information while the learning mode is activated (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 23-32).

As to claim 14, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for receiving first and second subscriber information comprises means for querying a subscriber (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-26), and means for receiving the viewing information in response to the query (see Strubbe '924 at column 4, line 59-column 5, line 26).

As to claim 15, while Strubbe '924 discloses means for receiving program content information for the individual programs from the operations center (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 16); and

means for matching individual programs with subscriber information using the program content information (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19), and wherein the means for generating comprises means for generating the programs identified by the means for matching (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19), he fails to specifically disclose first and second subscriber information.

In an analogous art, McMullan discloses a cable television system (see Fig. 1) wherein individual profiles are created for multiple subscribers (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) based upon received user information (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) for the typical benefit of enabling the

allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming (column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe's system to include first and second subscriber information, as taught by McMullan, for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming.

As to claim 16, while Strubbe '924 discloses a set top terminal for generating a customized menu of available programs for selection by a user (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 1, line 56-column 2, line 15), the terminal comprising:

means for receiving a television signal (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, lines 38-58);

means for extracting individual programs from the television signal (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 1; column 3, lines 38-58);

means for matching individual programs to a user of the terminal (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 33-47 and column 6, lines 5-19), using user information stored in a user profile (see Strubbe '924 at Fig. 3, 54, column 4, line 59-column 5, line 32); and

means for generating an interactive program menu (see Strubbe '924 at column 3, line 59-column 4, line 27 and column 5, lines 33-47) that displays selected programs identified by the means for matching (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, lines 12-47 and column 6, lines 5-24), he fails to specifically disclose two users, wherein each profile is associated with a user.

In an analogous art, McMullan discloses a cable television system (see Fig. 1) wherein individual profiles are created for multiple subscribers (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) based upon received user information (column 5, lines 43-60, column 23, line 65-column 24, line 17 and column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15) for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming (column 25, line 50-column 26, line 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe's system to include two users, wherein each profile is associated with a user, as taught by McMullan, for the typical benefit of enabling the allowing individual viewers to be identified and correlated with different programming.

As to claim 17, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for matching comprises means for matching based on content of the individual programs (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, line 48-column 6, line 4 and column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2).

As to claim 18, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for matching comprises means for matching based on channels frequently watched by the user (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, line 48-column 6, line 4 and column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2).

As to claim 19, Strubbe '924 and McMullan disclose wherein the means for matching comprises means for matching based on programs frequently watched by the user (see Strubbe '924 at column 5, line 48-column 6, line 4 and column 3, line 59-column 4, line 2).

As to claim 20, while Strubbe and McMullan disclose wherein the means for matching comprises means for matching based on information related to the user, they fail to specifically disclose demographic information.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention by applicant to utilize user demographic information to recommend content to the user, such as the user's age, income level and marriage status, for the typical benefit of utilizing a simple, well known means to quickly characterize a user and identify probable likes/dislikes the user may have.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Strubbe and McMullan's system to include demographic information for the typical benefit of utilizing a simple, well known means to quickly characterize a user and identify probable likes/dislikes the user may have.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () _____ - _____ on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sheleheda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James Sheleheda
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2623

JS


CHRIS KELLEY
SUPPLYING PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600